The politics of belonging

How British politics is undergoing a sea change away from freedom and towards security
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Britain’s stable two party system is collapsing. Both Labour and the Conservatives have lost c. 50% of their 2017 votes.
The primary driver of fragmentation is Brexit, which has split the established party coalitions and their defectors.
The Tory coalition splits three ways: 93.5% of 2017 voters would remain loyal or vote for the Brexit Party or Lib Dems.

45% of 2017 Tory voters would not vote for them again if an election were called today.

3.8 million voters would go to the Brexit Party, 1.5 million to the Liberal Democrats, and around 350,000 to Labour.

These 5 million voters will decide Conservative fortunes at an election.
Conservative voter groups are demographically distinct

- Core Tories are most economically stable and urban.
- Brexit Party switchers are older, less educated and Leave voters.
- Lib Dem switchers are younger, better educated and most non-urban.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demography</th>
<th>Lib Dem defectors</th>
<th>Core Tories</th>
<th>Brexit Party defectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Over 55</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% in Top socio-economic class</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Degree</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanity (rural / suburban / urban)</td>
<td>28 / 49 / 23%</td>
<td>22 / 40 / 38%</td>
<td>26 / 48 / 26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Voted Leave</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Labour core vote has held up better, but defectors split three ways, making it harder to reunite the tribe.

57% of 2017 Labour voters intend to vote for them again.

21% (2.7 million) intend to defect to the Liberal Democrats, 10% (1.1 million) to the Greens, and 8% (1 million) to the Brexit Party.

Labour stand to lose a total of 4.8 million votes, given current voting intentions.
Labour voter groups are very different from one another

- Core Labour are more ethnically diverse and younger
- Brexit Party switchers 3x less likely to have a degree than Green switchers
- Green and Lib Dem switchers are united on Brexit but divided by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demography</th>
<th>Lib Dem defectors</th>
<th>Core Labour</th>
<th>Brexit Party defectors</th>
<th>Green defectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Over 55</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Under 35</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Degree</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% White</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Voted Leave</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
But does this narrow view obscures a shift from the post-war liberal consensus to a post-Brexit security one?

From the 1960s onward, the organising principle of British democracy - among all political parties - has been freedom.

Fifty years on, do people feel that freedom, choice and autonomy has gone too far? Do today’s voters want security, community and belonging instead?
By 2-to-1 ratio, voters would rather live in “a society that focuses on giving people more security” (65%) than one that “focuses on giving people more freedom” (35%).
Using a basket of questions, we devised four broad definitions of freedom and security

**Economic Values**

- **Economic Security**: Those who favour economic security are broadly supportive of government intervention to correct perceived economic wrongs: such as inequality, industrial decline, unfair business practices, etc. They are sceptical of globalisation and its benefits.

- **Economic Freedom**: Those in favour of economic freedom support the liberalisation of the economy. They are in favour of small government, tax cuts, privatisation, competition, and oppose the notion that the government can and should fix economic wrongs. They are optimistic about globalisation and its benefits.

**Socio-Cultural Values**

- **Socio-Cultural Security**: Those who favour socio-cultural security think that liberalising cultural trends have been broadly negative. They are generally sceptical of the benefits of immigration, are tough on crime, and think the government should be concerned about the erosion of communities and traditional institutions.

- **Socio-Cultural Freedom**: Those who favour socio-cultural freedom believe that recent liberalising cultural trends have been broadly good for society. They think immigration has been good for Britain, and that the legal system should focus on rehabilitation. They are less concerned about the erosion of traditional institutions, moral standards, and local communities.
Using this composite measure, we find that voters are more inclined towards security rather than freedom across both social and economic axes.
This is broadly true across age groups, but older voters are much more security-conscious.
You can see this clear age gradient on both the social and cultural dimensions.

- I would rather live in a society that focuses on giving people more security
- I would rather live in a society that preserves tradition
- Communities have become more divided and segregated
- More people living in cities has made society worse
- Fewer people are getting married because of a decline in family commitment and values
- More people going to university and fewer people gaining technical qualifications has been a bad thing for the country overall
- The justice system should be more about punishment than rehabilitation
- Efforts to reduce inequality between men and women have already gone too far
- Pursue gradual change to protect what is important
... and even more clearly across the economic dimension

- On the whole, jobs and wages have been improved by technological change
- Most people have been able to share in the benefits of globalisation
- Increases in immigration have had a positive impact on the economy overall
- The privatisation of utilities like water, gas and electricity has been a good thing for consumers
- The rise of flexible working has made it easier for people to work when and where they want
- Making a decent living has got easier for people like me
- Government should focus on growing the economy as fast as possible
- The wealthiest in our society have generally earned their money by working hard

On the whole, jobs and wages have been made worse by technological change
Globalisation has not benefited most people
Increases in immigration have had a negative impact on the economy overall
The privatisation of utilities like water, gas and electricity has been a bad thing for consumers
The rise of flexible working has made employment less stable and secure
Making a decent living has got harder for people like me
Government should focus on reducing the gap between rich and poor
The wealthiest in our society have generally earned their money by exploiting others
Those with lower levels of education and lower economic status are highly security-conscious.

These demographic groups are most closely aligned with the core Tory vote.

In 2017, the Conservatives won among C2DE voters. Previous Onward research has shown that the Conservatives are now the party of apprentices and lower-skilled workers, while Labour increasingly captures the graduate vote.
Leave voters are considerably more security-focused

Voters who voted leave in 2016 are more security-orientated than Remain voters on every measure aside from privatisation.
The Brexit Party and the Conservatives are most security conscious. The Liberal Democrats are least.
This is also true of all voting groups, including defectors. Conservative groups are pro-security over freedom.
Even on economic issues, the Conservative coalition is aligned around security, not freedom

- Economic Freedom
  - Communities have become more integrated and diverse
  - More people living in cities has made society better
  - The privatisation of utilities like water, gas and electricity has been a good thing for consumers
  - Making a decent living has got easier for people like me

- Economic Security
  - Communities have become more divided and segregated
  - More people living in cities has made society worse
  - The privatisation of utilities like water, gas and electricity has been a bad thing for consumers
  - Making a decent living has got harder for people like me
In terms of social institutions, people are highly supportive of family and the union, much less on religion and ethnicity.
Young voters and Londoners are considerably less supportive of liberal democratic principles

Young people are considerably more authoritarian than older generations:
- 64% of under-35s want a strongman leader, compared to 52% of over-65s.
- 24% of under-35s do not think democratic government is a good way to run the country vs. 93% of over-65s.

London is the most authoritarian part of the country, likely reflecting its younger and ethnically diverse population:
- 66% of Londoners support strongman leaders, vs. 54% in the North East.
- Support for army rule is more than twice as high in London (42%) as the North East (17%).
The Conservative tribe is more supportive of authority than Labour’s, which is split on liberal democracy.

Lab/Brex (40%) voters are twice as likely as Lab/Lib switchers (16%) to support army rule as and nearly twice as likely to support strongman leaders (36% vs. 67%). They are also less democratic (95% vs. 86%).
More people think the country has moved further from their views than closer to them

The two groups that think Britain has moved away from their views most over the last decade are:

- Labour to Lib Dem defectors on economic issues
- Conservative to Brexit Party defectors on cultural issues

Most voter groups think the country has moved away from them to a large degree.

London is the only part of the country that does not feel like Britain has moved away from them culturally or economically over the last decade.

People in the North East feel most alienated by the direction of the country.
Emerging conclusions

1. After half a century of liberalising policy, from Jenkins to Thatcher to Blair, the post-war age of freedom is ending. In its place comes the era of security.

2. This sea change means there is little value for the Conservatives becoming the freedom fighters again. Voters do not want want more autonomy, choice and mobility. They want a government that:
   a. Protects them, their families and British businesses from the modern world
   b. Provide a greater focus on place, community and security
   c. Invests in public services and institutions

3. This is especially true of the voters that the party needs for a majority coalition: Brexit Party defectors, Lib Dem defectors and Labour-Conservative defectors.

Core message: reject the freedom fighters and pursue the politics of belonging.